



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/898,351	07/03/2001	Pratap Subrahmanyam	10991880	1056	
7590 05/0S/200S			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			VU, TUAN A		
Intellectual Prop	perty Administration				
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2193		

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/898,351	SUBRAHMANYAM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tuan A Vu	2193	

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tuan A Vu	2193					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
 THE REPLY FILED 21 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF 1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: a) ☐ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adv 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reploten final rejection.	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (y must be filed within	ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the				
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	OWT NIHTIW C				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belonged, and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).):	·					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-12,14-17,19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ worlded below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 		·	1				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	TODD INCBES	X				

PRIMARY EXAMINER



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

As for the arguments about Tremblay's teaching away, the claim does not provide sufficient specifics as to enforce why Tremblay should not use any code other than basic blocks at pre-processing time; or to oblige Tremblay to operate without code modification in order to expedite code loading. The claim only revolves around maintaining cache and eviction of less used code; and Tremblay LRU in combination with Burton has rendered this obvious. The teach-away argument turns out to be non persuasive or moot in light of what is explicitly claimed.

Applicant has argued that Holmberg teaches away from frequency utilization; the rejection has set forth portions that clearly point to a counter tracking access of memory by program code. Section 0050 in Holmberg teaches on how code frequently used would be maintained in cache by way of counters. Besides, these arguments are but repeat of those that had been addressed in the Final Action. The claims as amended for minor correction/clarification purposes and although entered will not be in favorable conditions for allowance.

TODD ING